



MARKS TEY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
Notes from the Steering Group Meeting
Friday 11th September 2020
7pm on [Zoom](#)

Present on Zoom:

John Wood (MTPC)
Ian Scott-Thompson (Sec)
Allan Walker
Gail Gibbs
Sue Stacey
Gerald Wells (MTPC)
Rachel Hogger

Apologies: Patsy Beech, Richard Gore

Welcome from John.

Minutes of 5th August meeting:

The footpath will be on Andersons' plan, although there isn't much room. Dobbie's Lane and Andersons? Not mentioned: Bypass Nurseries (we don't know his name: but we should talk, via Strutt & Parker - **John**).

Andersons are aware of our changes to the latest NP Policy, with Travel Plan. Strutt & Parker want development before A12 re-routing; but access using A12 gap or Old London Road is inadvisable.

The A12. Ramifications of the route (and A120 Route D) for us. Leaving the A12 going right through Marks Tey gives no benefit to us: 'pulling the village together' will be even harder. We should ask Highways England (HE) for more detail on access roads, e.g. commuters to the station. **Gerald & John** will press for an NP meeting with HE/Jacobs: their official consultation was not useful. Contact the people who responded to the NP, because they know our concerns.

The A120, Route D, will offer only 18% traffic reduction through Marks Tey, and RIS3 is 2025-2030.

Housing numbers (Catherine Bailey's email): no recommendation for now, possibly even for 5 years. Draft Section 2 of the LP is relevant, and due soon. Catherine Bailey claims that no significant development sites (100 dwellings) are planned in MT. We want no development, until we get the infrastructure. **John** will press for a meeting with Catherine Bailey and CBC, to address our concerns.

Schedule of Changes: Rachel will go ahead with these, even if no response from us.

RC 3.4: remove previously planned housing numbers.

RC 3.7: Allan's suggestion to mention 82% residual traffic load. 'Dualling', in UK English.

RC 6.1: include noise.

RC 6.2: we need more than just A120 and A12 proposals, which won't significantly help our traffic load.

RC 6.3: support Station in its present position. But we need parking congestion solutions.

RC 6.4: support growth of Station numbers, but not to the detriment of the local community.

RC 6.5: Allan's wording needs tightening up by Rachel.

RC 6.8: it's too naïve to suggest A120 will become pleasant!

Consultation Log (3 sections): completion.

S10: The area falls outside our boundary. Colliers need to ask Aldham PC.

MT05 S16: Roman River area is not a priority for us.

Patsy's suggestions: Rachel will consider these. Granger's Lane has been accepted as a footpath

MT09 S1: include Parish Field as a Green Space. MTPC own it.

London Road Parade: Allan produced a map. Should we include houses, as well as shops?

Call it the Neighbourhood Centre, and include the whole economic area as a residential amenity.

Add Policies S19: discuss Flood risk around North Lane with CBC (**John**).

Also support sustainable energy requirements (although this should be national). Policy MT04 also references this.

Residents' Log: again, Rachel will format in black if no comment

Chs 1-5 NR10: OK.

Chs 1-5 NR17: 'Twenty's Plenty' should be done through PC.

Plan Policies R2: Car Boot field doesn't now need bungalows, to prevent A12.

Next steps: Rachel will make changes and circulate to Steering Group.

Then Rachel can prepare Submission NP, which we will need to proof-read.

We can share the Consultation responses with CBC, for their info.

Then we can meet with Catherine Bailey and CBC Planners.

Consultation Statement (Gemma and John are preparing this)

No other business

Next meeting: 7pm, Friday 25th September, on Zoom

Ian Scott-Thompson